

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4096 of 1992

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE RAVI R. TRIPATHI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : YES
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : YES
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
J R GOHIL

Versus

DIST. PANCHAYAT  
-----

Appearance:

(MR BK PARIKH) for Petitioners  
MR KH BAXI for Respondent No. 1  
RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 3  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE RAVI R. TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 24/11/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

The present petition is filed by Shri J.R. Gohil and 18 others. The grievance of the petitioners in the petition is that the petitioner had appeared in the

interview for the post of Gram Sevak (Multi Purpose), which was held by the competent authority of the District Panchayat, Bhavnagar. The grievance of the petitioners is that 115 successful candidates were selected and were placed on select list, of which the incumbents from serial nos.1 to 75 are given appointment by the respondent authorities. 40 candidates including the petitioners are waiting for appointment letter from the respondent authorities. The present petition came to be filed when the petitioners learnt that the respondents are contemplating to scrap the waiting list which was prepared by the competent authorities, a copy of which is produced at Annexure 'A'.

2. It is stated by the petitioners themselves that Special Civil Application No.3081 of 1989 was filed before this Court through the Secretary, Bhavnagar Jill Sevak Samithi, Bhavnagar and in the said Special Civil Application it was stated by the authorities that the Notification dated 22.12.1988 is amended by Notification dated 5.5.1989, a copy of which are produced at Annexures 'B' and 'C' respectively to the petition. An affidavit in reply is also filed by Shri M.J. Thakkar, Deputy DDO (Establishment) dated 15.7.1992.

3. On perusal of the papers, it is found that the matter has no substance. Hence the petition is dismissed. Rule is discharged. Interim relief is vacated. No order as to costs.

24th November 2000 (Ravi R. Tripathi, J.)

karim\*